




Santa Barbara First5 Collaborative - FDM Data Report
July 1st 2014 – June 30th 2017
 By
Ignacio A. Navarro Ph.D.¹

During the (2000) 14-15, 15-16, and 16-17 fiscal years the Santa Barbara Collaborative served 1,290 families using the FDM. This report presents the data collected in the FDM on these cases. The first section describes the cases receiving first assessments in all agencies in the Santa Barbara Collaborative and their baseline scores on 21 indicators of wellbeing. The second section describes the changes in wellbeing for cases that received 2 assessments and 3 assessments, and the third section describes the same data at the agency level.

1. Family Characteristics


Between July 2014 and June 2017, 1,290 cases received an FDM assessment at an agency in the Santa Barbara Collaborative. About 78% the families that received a first



assessment reported being of Hispanic or Latino descent; about 14% identified as White; 1.63% identified as African American, .8% identified as Asian/Pacific islander, .23% reported being of Native American descent, and 5.3% of another or a mix of ethnicities (Figure 1).


In terms of number of children, 99% of the families reported having at least one child (0 to 18 years old) at the time of the first assessment; about 5% reported having 4 or more children, and 59% reported having 1 or 2 children. Figure 2 presents the detailed distribution of number children (0 to 18 years of old) for the 1,290 cases receiving a first assessment.

When asked about household type, about 51% of cases reported being from a single mother household,



¹ Ignacio Navarro is an Associate Policy at California State Unive

6% identified themselves as single fathers, 41% reported being a two-parent household and .62% and .7% reported being from a single grandparent and a two-grandparent household respectively (figure 3).



Every case that receives an FDM assessment participates in a dialogue with a caseworker to assess the family’s strengths and challenges at the time of the assessment. The Santa Barbara Collaborative as a whole uses a total of

58 indicators to assess wellbeing. Each indicator is an ordinal measure reflecting a family’s situation relative to that dimension of wellbeing. Families can be rated as “in crisis”; “at risk”; “stable”; and “self sufficient” depending on their situation. Indicators rated as “stable” or “self-sufficient” are considered strengths that can be leveraged to address the challenge areas on indicators rated as “in crisis” or “at risk.” Table 1 presents the percentage of cases that report being “stable” or “self-sufficient” in 21 indicators used by the Santa Barbara Collaborative. The indicators presented in table 1 are common for the 13 agencies in the collaborative. However, in addition to the 21 common indicators, some agencies in the collaborative use 35 indicators. Data for these additional indicators is not presented in this section of the report, but is available in the appendix section.

As table 1 shows, about 64% of families arrive at the agencies in the Collaborative with a score of stable or self-sufficient in the indicator of “Parent Sharing Child Rearing” and 66% report being stable or self-sufficient on the indicator of “Community Resources Knowledge” at the time of the first assessment. Additionally, about 75% and 78 % are at the stable or self-sufficient level in the indicators of “Employment” and Family Communication Skills respectively. Other indicators with relatively lower numbers of cases rated as stable or self-sufficient levels at first assessment are those of Support System (78.9%) and Stability of Home Shelter (79.4%). This distribution of scores presents a picture of the vulnerable population served by the agencies in the Santa Barbara Collaborative. These families tend to be under economic distress and disconnected from community resources and/or social support. However, as a group, these families also present some strengths in the areas of child supervision, access to transportation, and nutrition. In these three areas, more than 95% of the families reported being at a stable or self-sufficient level during their first assessment.

Table 1: Percentage of cases that report being at “stable” or “self sufficient” level during the first assessment by indicator.

INDICATOR	%	N
Parent Sharing Child Rearing	64.2	1,077
Community Resources Knowledge	66	1,290
Employment	75	1,054
Family Communication Skills	78.8	1,290
Support System	78.9	1,290
Stability Home Shelter	79.4	1,290
Clothing	80.5	1,290
Emotional Wellbeing Sense of Life Value	82.3	1,290
Risk of Emotional or Sexual Abuse	83.1	1,227
Budgeting	83.3	1,290
Parenting Skills	85.2	1,274
Child Care	86.2	1,018
Appropriate Development	90	1,255
Child Health Insurance	90.3	1,268
Presence Abuse	90.8	1,289
Home Environment	92.2	1,290
Health Services	93	1,290
Nurturing	93.3	1,265
Access to Transportation	94.7	1,290
Nutrition	97.1	1,277
Child Supervision	98.5	1,242

2. Second and Third Assessments


Out of the 1,290 cases that received a first assessment between July 2014 and June 2017, 851 received a second assessment and 386 received a third assessment by the date these data were downloaded (December 15th 2017). As Table 2 presents, there was some variation on the number of assessments across the different agencies in the collaborative.

Table 2: Assessments between July 2014 and June 2017 by agency

Agency	Assessments			
	1	2	3	4 or more
Child Abuse Mediation and Listening (CALM)	224	72	7	0
Community Action Commission	89	72	67	47
Cuyama Valley Family Resource Center	11	10	9	0
Dorothy Jackson Family Resource Center	7	7	5	0
Guadalupe FRC	115	82	6	0
Isla Vista Youth Projects, Inc.	27	17	8	3
Main Family Resource Center of Carpinteria- Carpinteria Uni.	135	105	82	33
Santa Barbara Sites (Family Service Agency)	201	162	79	35
Santa Barbara County Dept. of Social Services	125	0	0	0
Santa Maria Healthy Start	118	115	33	4
Santa Maria Valley Youth	169	168	66	9
Santa Ynez Valley People Helping People	37	28	16	8
St. Vincent's Family Strengthening Program	32	13	8	1
Total	1,290	851	386	140


The results presented in figure 4 show the percentage of cases at stable or self-sufficient level at first and second assessments only for cases that had at least 2 assessments. The cases that had at least 2 assessments as a group showed improvements in all the indicators between first and second assessment. The greatest gains in the percentage of cases that scored at stable or self-sufficient on the second assessment when compared to the first assessment were observed in the indicators of “Community Resource Knowledge”, and “Clothing” (with differences of 31 and 11; percentage points respectively). For the indicators of “Support systems”, “Risk of emotional or sexual abuse”, “Parenting skills”, and Family Communication Skills” the gains were also substantial (at about 9 percentage points). Differences in scores were assessed for statistical significance using a pooled test of difference in proportions. The results showed that all the differences, except for the differences in the indicators of “access to transportation”, “Home environment” and “Child Supervision”, were statistically significant at the .05 level. All indicators with statistically significant changes are followed by the “*” symbol.

Figure 4: Percent of Families at "Stable" or "Self Sufficient" level by Assessment



* Statistically significant difference at the .05 level

Out of the 851 cases that had a second assessment, 386 received a 3rd assessment. The percentages of cases at the stable or self-sufficient level in each assessment for this group of cases is presented in Figure 5.



* Statistically significant difference at the .05 level

As the figure shows, these cases continued to show progress after their second assessment in all indicators. As with figure 4, statistically significant differences between first as third assessment at the .05 level are denoted for each indicator with the “*” symbol.

3. Agency level data

The Santa Barbara collaborative is comprised by 13 agencies. The demographic characteristics of families served by the collaborative varied widely across agencies in terms of race/ethnicity, household type, and number of children, as shown in tables 3, 4 and 5. The cases served by the 13 agencies in the Collaborative also presented varied needs across different agencies as evidenced by their scores at the first assessment. As table 6 presents, the percentage of cases that arrived to the agencies at a stable or self-sufficient level varied by indicator across the different agencies. Finally, table 7 shows the percentage of cases at the stable or self-sufficient level at first and second assessment only for cases that had at least 2 assessments. Because the number of cases that received a second assessment in most agencies was lower than 100 (see table 4), statistical significance tests were not performed at the agency level analysis.

Table 3: Distribution of Cases’ Race/Ethnicity by agency

Agency	Ethnicity						Total (N)
	African American	Hispanic/Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	White	Native American	Mixed/Other	
CALM %	1.3	79.5	0.9	17.0	0.0	1.3	224
Community Action Commission %	0.0	84.3	2.3	10.1	0.0	3.4	89
Cuyama Valley FRC %	0.0	45.5	0.0	36.4	9.1	9.1	11
Dorothy Jackson FRC %	0.0	100	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	7
Guadalupe FRC %	0.9	96.5	0.9	1.7	0.0	0.0	115
Isla Vista Youth Projects %	0.0	85.2	3.7	11.1	0.0	0.0	27
Main FRC of Carpinteria %	0.7	93.3	0.0	5.2	0.0	0.7	135
Santa Barbara Sites (Family Service Agency) %	3.5	85.1	0.5	6.5	0.5	4.0	201
Santa Barbara Co. DSS %	3.2	18.4	1.6	63.2	0.0	13.6	125
Santa Maria Health. Start %	0.0	86.4	0.0	5.1	0.0	8.5	118
Santa Maria Valley Youth %	0.0	82.3	0.0	3.0	0.0	14.8	169
Santa Ynez Valley People Helping People %	0.0	75.7	0.0	21.6	2.7	0.0	37
St.Vincent's Family Strengthening Program %	15.6	56.3	3.1	25.0	0.0	0.0	32
Total	1.6	78.0	0.8	14.1	0.2	5.3	1,290

Table 4: Distribution of Cases' Household Type by Agency

Agency	Household type							Total (N)
	Single Gr. father	Single Mother	Two Gr. parents	Single father	Single Gr. mother	Two parents	Other	
CALM %	0	51.8	1.8	10.3	0.5	35.3	0.5	224
Community Action Commission %	0	57.3	1.1	3.4	1.1	37.1	0	89
Cuyama Valley FRC %	0	27.3	0	0	0	72.7	0	11
Dorothy Jackson FRC %	0	71.4	0	0	0	28.6	0	7
Guadalupe FRC %	0	40	1.74	7.8	1.7	48.7	0	115
Isla Vista Youth Projects %	0	66.7	0	3.7	0	29.6	0	27
Main FRC of Carpinteria %	0	56.3	0	9.6	0	34.1	0	135
Santa Barbara Sites (Family Service Agency) %	0	51.7	1	5.5	1	40.8	0	201
Santa Barbara Co. DSS %	0	63.2	0	5.6	0	31.2	0	125
Santa Maria Health. Start %	0.9	39.8	0	0.9	0	58.5	0	118
Santa Maria Valley Youth %	0	37.3	0	4.1	0.6	57.4	0.6	169
Santa Ynez Valley People Helping People %	0	62.2	0	2.7	0	35.1	0	37
St. Vincent's Family Strengthening Program %	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	32
Total	0.1	51.4	0.7	5.9	0.5	41.2	0.2	1,290

Table 5: distribution of cases' reported number of children by agency

Agency	Number of children						Total (N)
	0	1	2	3	4	5 or more	
CALM %	0.9	29.5	27.7	22.8	13.0	6.3	224
Community Action Commission %	0.0	16.9	43.8	23.6	13.5	2.3	89
Cuyama Valley FRC %	0.0	36.4	27.3	27.3	0.0	9.1	11
Dorothy Jackson FRC %	0.0	42.9	42.9	14.3	0.0	0.0	7
Guadalupe FRC %	0.0	14.8	29.6	26.1	19.1	10.4	115
Isla Vista Youth Projects %	0.0	44.4	33.3	11.1	7.4	3.7	27
Main FRC of Carpinteria %	0.0	23.7	44.4	22.2	8.2	1.5	135
Santa Barbara Sites (Family Service Agency) %	4.5	21.4	33.3	25.9	13.4	1.5	201
Santa Barbara Co. DSS %	0.8	37.6	30.4	18.4	11.2	1.6	125
Santa Maria Health. Start %	0.0	17.8	34.8	31.4	7.6	8.5	118
Santa Maria Valley Youth %	1.8	22.5	29.6	29.0	10.7	6.5	169
Santa Ynez Valley People Helping People %	0.0	24.3	46.0	21.6	5.4	2.7	37
St. Vincent's Family Strengthening Program %	6.3	78.1	15.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	32
Total	1.3	25.7	33.2	23.9	11.3	4.6	1,290

Table 6: Proportion of clients at Stable or Self-Sufficient status at time of first assessment by agency

INDICATOR	CALM	Comm. Action	Cuyama Valley FRC	Dorothy Jackson	Guadalupe FRC	Isla Vista Youth	Main FRC Carp.
AccessToTransportation	0.95	0.99	1.00	0.86	0.90	0.96	0.98
ChildHealthInsurance	0.89	0.98	0.55	0.86	0.91	0.81	0.98
CommunityResourcesKnowledge	0.72	0.84	0.18	0.43	0.53	0.67	0.90
HealthServices	0.93	1.00	0.82	1.00	0.94	0.96	0.98
Budgeting	0.81	0.90	0.45	0.43	0.83	0.56	0.96
Clothing	0.88	0.94	0.64	0.71	0.70	0.44	0.95
Employment	0.81	0.88	0.20	0.86	0.83	0.63	0.87
ChildCare	0.93	0.98	0.55	0.71	0.89	0.56	0.90
RiskOfEmotionalOrSexualAbuse	0.46	0.94	1.00	1.00	0.88	0.96	0.93
Supervision	0.94	0.99	1.00	1.00	0.98	1.00	0.99
AppropriateDevelopment	0.86	0.98	0.64	1.00	0.88	0.93	0.95
Nutrition	0.97	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.99
FamilyCommunicationSkills	0.59	0.97	0.91	1.00	0.85	0.81	0.88
EmotionalWellbeingSenseLifeValue	0.69	0.92	0.73	1.00	0.82	0.85	0.94
Nurturing	0.83	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.96	0.93	0.96
ParentingSkills	0.76	1.00	0.64	0.86	0.81	0.89	0.89
HomeEnvironment	0.90	0.97	0.91	1.00	0.96	0.89	0.99
StabilityHomeShelter	0.88	0.99	0.45	0.86	0.92	0.67	0.99
SupportSystem	0.71	0.97	0.64	0.43	0.83	0.70	0.97
PresenceAbuse	0.77	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.90	0.89	0.99
ParentSharingChildRearing	0.61	0.96	0.73	0.57	0.57	0.54	0.80

Table 6: Proportion of clients at Stable or Self-Sufficient status at time of first assessment by agency (Continued)

INDICATOR	SB Sites	SB DSS	Santa Maria HS	Santa Maria Youth	Santa Ynez	St. Vincent's	All
AccessToTransportation	0.91	0.94	0.94	0.99	0.89	0.94	0.95
ChildHealthInsurance	0.83	1.00	0.77	0.96	0.89	0.94	0.90
CommunityResourcesKnowledge	0.51	0.79	0.42	0.63	0.65	0.91	0.66
HealthServices	0.86	0.90	0.91	0.99	0.81	0.97	0.93
Budgeting	0.68	0.88	0.95	0.93	0.73	0.75	0.83
Clothing	0.73	0.71	0.73	0.86	0.84	0.88	0.81
Employment	0.64	0.51	0.79	0.87	0.76	0.70	0.75
ChildCare	0.70	0.95	0.72	0.97	0.96	0.90	0.86
RiskOfEmotionalOrSexualAbuse	0.82	0.85	0.92	0.99	0.94	1.00	0.83
Supervision	0.99	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.97	0.98
AppropriateDevelopment	0.84	0.88	0.93	0.95	0.86	1.00	0.90
Nutrition	0.95	1.00	0.92	1.00	0.97	0.97	0.97
FamilyCommunicationSkills	0.72	0.85	0.92	0.77	0.89	0.66	0.79
EmotionalWellbeingSenseLifeValue	0.74	0.74	0.87	0.95	0.97	0.81	0.82
Nurturing	0.88	0.97	0.97	0.99	0.94	1.00	0.93
ParentingSkills	0.86	0.94	0.95	0.75	0.92	0.90	0.85
HomeEnvironment	0.88	0.80	0.94	0.98	0.89	0.94	0.92
StabilityHomeShelter	0.80	0.40	0.76	0.78	0.81	0.22	0.79
SupportSystem	0.62	0.59	0.88	0.95	0.81	0.75	0.79
PresenceAbuse	0.89	0.91	0.97	0.95	0.89	0.97	0.91
ParentSharingChildRearing	0.59	0.47	0.80	0.71	0.46	0.00	0.64

Table 7: Proportion of clients at Stable or Self-Sufficient status by assessment (1 of 4)

Agency	CALM		Comm. Action		Cuyama Valley FRC	
	1st	2nd	1st	2nd	1st	2nd
AccessToTransportation	0.97	0.97	1.00	0.99	1.00	0.90
ChildHealthInsurance	0.81	0.92	0.97	1.00	0.60	1.00
CommunityResourcesKnowledge	0.68	0.99	0.91	1.00	0.20	1.00
HealthServices	0.90	0.96	1.00	1.00	0.80	1.00
Budgeting	0.81	0.86	0.94	0.97	0.40	0.70
Clothing	0.92	0.96	0.97	1.00	0.70	0.90
Employment	0.79	0.92	0.90	0.94	0.22	0.56
ChildCare	0.89	0.95	0.98	0.97	0.60	0.80
RiskOfEmotionalOrSexualAbuse	0.32	0.96	0.97	1.00	1.00	1.00
Supervision	0.94	0.99	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
AppropriateDevelopment	0.86	0.99	1.00	0.99	0.60	0.90
Nutrition	0.96	0.99	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
FamilyCommunicationSkills	0.50	0.89	0.97	0.99	0.90	0.80
EmotionalWellbeingSenseLifeValue	0.69	0.90	0.94	1.00	0.70	0.60
Nurturing	0.79	0.97	1.00	0.99	1.00	1.00
ParentingSkills	0.68	0.90	1.00	1.00	0.70	0.40
HomeEnvironment	0.89	0.97	0.97	0.99	0.90	0.90
StabilityHomeShelter	0.88	0.92	1.00	0.99	0.50	0.60
SupportSystem	0.71	0.93	0.99	1.00	0.60	0.80
PresenceAbuse	0.74	0.82	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
ParentSharingChildRearing	0.64	0.78	0.98	1.00	0.70	0.60

Table 7: Proportion of clients at Stable or Self-Sufficient status by assessment (2 of 4)

Agency	Dorothy Jackson		Guadalupe FRC		Isla Vista Youth	
	1st	2nd	1st	2nd	1st	2nd
AccessToTransportation	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.95	1.00	1.00
ChildHealthInsurance	0.86	1.00	0.96	0.99	0.71	1.00
CommunityResourcesKnowledge	0.43	1.00	0.53	0.99	0.76	0.94
HealthServices	1.00	1.00	0.93	0.99	0.94	1.00
Budgeting	0.43	0.43	0.84	0.96	0.53	0.76
Clothing	0.71	1.00	0.70	0.93	0.47	0.82
Employment	0.86	0.71	0.85	0.97	0.53	0.87
ChildCare	0.71	1.00	0.92	0.96	0.44	0.75
RiskOfEmotionalOrSexualAbuse	1.00	1.00	0.92	0.98	0.94	1.00
Supervision	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.99	1.00	1.00
AppropriateDevelopment	1.00	1.00	0.88	0.96	0.88	0.94
Nutrition	1.00	1.00	0.96	1.00	1.00	1.00
FamilyCommunicationSkills	1.00	1.00	0.92	0.94	0.76	0.88
EmotionalWellbeingSenseLifeValue	1.00	1.00	0.82	0.90	0.82	0.88
Nurturing	1.00	1.00	0.99	0.96	0.94	1.00
ParentingSkills	0.86	0.86	0.84	0.95	0.88	0.94
HomeEnvironment	1.00	1.00	0.95	0.98	0.82	0.94
StabilityHomeShelter	0.86	0.86	0.93	0.94	0.59	0.71
SupportSystem	0.43	1.00	0.85	0.93	0.71	0.88
PresenceAbuse	1.00	1.00	0.91	0.95	0.88	0.94
ParentSharingChildRearing	0.57	0.57	0.63	0.73	0.63	0.76

Table 7: Proportion of clients at Stable or Self-Sufficient status by assessment (3 of 4)

Agency	Main FRC Carp.		SB Sites		Santa Maria HS	
	1st	2nd	1st	2nd	1st	2nd
AccessToTransportation	1.00	0.99	0.94	0.93	0.94	0.98
ChildHealthInsurance	0.98	0.99	0.81	0.93	0.76	0.92
CommunityResourcesKnowledge	0.93	1.00	0.49	0.83	0.41	0.95
HealthServices	0.97	1.00	0.87	0.97	0.90	0.98
Budgeting	0.97	0.99	0.66	0.82	0.95	0.99
Clothing	0.94	0.97	0.72	0.85	0.75	0.89
Employment	0.86	0.93	0.67	0.75	0.78	0.90
ChildCare	0.91	0.92	0.71	0.78	0.72	0.91
RiskOfEmotionalOrSexualAbuse	0.95	0.99	0.81	0.92	0.92	0.95
Supervision	1.00	1.00	0.99	0.99	1.00	1.00
AppropriateDevelopment	0.96	0.97	0.84	0.86	0.94	0.95
Nutrition	0.98	1.00	0.94	0.99	0.91	0.99
FamilyCommunicationSkills	0.90	0.89	0.72	0.80	0.91	0.95
EmotionalWellbeingSenseLifeValue	0.95	0.96	0.74	0.88	0.87	0.92
Nurturing	0.97	1.00	0.88	0.96	0.97	0.98
ParentingSkills	0.88	0.96	0.86	0.94	0.95	0.97
HomeEnvironment	0.98	1.00	0.91	0.91	0.96	0.96
StabilityHomeShelter	0.99	0.99	0.81	0.88	0.76	0.83
SupportSystem	0.98	0.99	0.60	0.81	0.88	0.92
PresenceAbuse	0.99	0.99	0.89	0.91	0.96	0.99
ParentSharingChildRearing	0.82	0.84	0.59	0.63	0.81	0.83

Table 7: Proportion of clients at Stable or Self-Sufficient status by assessment (4 of 4)

Agency	Santa Maria Valley		Santa Ynez Valley		St. Vincent's	
	1st	2nd	1st	2nd	1st	2nd
AccessToTransportation	0.99	0.99	0.89	0.89	0.92	1.00
ChildHealthInsurance	0.96	0.98	0.93	0.96	0.85	1.00
CommunityResourcesKnowledge	0.62	0.97	0.61	0.86	0.85	0.77
HealthServices	0.99	0.99	0.86	0.96	0.92	0.77
Budgeting	0.93	0.98	0.68	0.86	0.69	0.85
Clothing	0.86	0.92	0.79	0.96	0.85	1.00
Employment	0.87	0.91	0.83	0.70	0.85	0.92
ChildCare	0.97	0.99	0.94	0.95	0.91	0.85
RiskOfEmotionalOrSexualAbuse	0.99	0.99	0.96	0.92	1.00	0.92
Supervision	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.92	1.00
AppropriateDevelopment	0.95	0.98	0.81	0.85	1.00	1.00
Nutrition	1.00	1.00	0.96	1.00	0.92	1.00
FamilyCommunicationSkills	0.76	0.91	0.93	0.93	0.92	0.85
EmotionalWellbeingSenseLifeValue	0.95	0.98	0.96	0.93	1.00	0.92
Nurturing	0.99	0.99	0.96	1.00	1.00	1.00
ParentingSkills	0.74	0.91	0.93	1.00	1.00	0.92
HomeEnvironment	0.98	0.98	0.89	0.93	1.00	0.92
StabilityHomeShelter	0.78	0.82	0.82	0.86	0.00	0.15
SupportSystem	0.95	0.99	0.86	0.93	0.85	0.85
PresenceAbuse	0.95	0.97	0.89	0.89	0.92	0.92
ParentSharingChildRearing	0.70	0.73	0.46	0.61	0.00	0.00

Appendix: Percentage of cases that report being at “stable” or “self sufficient” level during the first assessment by indicator (Supplemental Indicators)

INDICATOR	% at stable or self-sufficient level	Agencies using indicator	N
AdultEducation	94.5	2	218
CarSeatSafety	99.1	2	215
Discipline	71.8	2	259
DomesticViolenceAdult	61.6	1	224
HealingForgiveness	73.3	1	210
ImmigrationStatus	80.7	3	435
InfluenceHigherUniversalPower	79.7	1	212
Language_Skills	74.8	2	222
MaritalSpousalRelationship	48.0	1	75
MaritalRelationship	80.4	2	184
AcademicProgress	94.7	1	19
AttitudeChild	97.1	1	35
BasicHouseholdNecessities	86.5	1	37
BooksRead	95.7	1	23
CommunityGroups	91.4	1	35
ConnectedSchools	88.2	1	17
Dominance	79.3	1	29
Enrichment	94.4	1	36
FamilyCopingSkills	91.4	1	35
FamilyFriendSupport	96.1	1	51
FamilyRules	63.6	1	33
HealthInsurance	84.4	1	32
HistorySkills	87.0	1	23
HurtSelfOthers	100.0	1	35
InjuryPreventionandAgeAppropri	100.0	1	35
KnowledgeHealthScreening	97.2	1	36
MaleInvolvement	92.1	1	38
NeighborRelationships	94.3	1	35
ParentingResourcesServices	100.0	1	35
PhysicalViolence	86.8	1	38
SchoolAttendance	100.0	1	17
SchoolBehavior	70.6	1	17
SchoolEngagement	92.3	1	26
SchoolReadiness	96.6	1	29
SexualAbuse	100.0	1	38
SocialInteractions	97.2	1	36
TobaccoSafety	100.0	1	35