

**Collaborative Report for: all agencies
Data as of February 2014**

1. Demographic and referral type information:

Number of total first assessments entered in the FDM= 16,806

**Figure #1:
Distribution of families by Referral type**




Figure 1 shows what percentage of families was referred under Differential Response paths 1, 2, 3 or under a non-Differential Response referral. All families with a first FDM assessment are considered in the figure

**Figure #2:
Distribution of families by their Race/ethnicity**




Figure 2 shows the distribution of race/ethnicity for all families with a first FDM assessment.

2. Change in scores for core FDM indicators:

Number of observations with at least 2 assessments= 8,206

Table #1:
Percentage of clients at the “safe” or “self-sufficient” status level by assessment

| Indicator | 1st Assessment | 2nd Assessment | Difference | P< .05 |
|---------------------------------|----------------|----------------|------------|--------|
| Childcare | 54.7 | 62.1 | 7.43 | * |
| Supervision | 89.2 | 90.2 | 1.00 | * |
| Risk of emotional or sex abuse | 75.1 | 85.5 | 10.38 | * |
| Nutrition | 88.6 | 92.8 | 4.24 | * |
| Appropriate development | 79.4 | 85.3 | 5.92 | * |
| Nurturing | 85.7 | 90.7 | 4.93 | * |
| Parenting skills | 81.0 | 88.5 | 7.50 | * |
| Family communication skills | 79.3 | 87.9 | 8.65 | * |
| Budgeting | 72.2 | 85.5 | 13.35 | * |
| Clothing | 76.8 | 87.5 | 10.74 | * |
| Employment | 39.5 | 46.4 | 6.86 | * |
| Stability of home shelter | 85.2 | 89.3 | 4.04 | * |
| Home environment | 92.5 | 95.7 | 3.16 | * |
| Health services | 87.4 | 94.2 | 6.79 | * |
| Comm. resources knowledge | 60.0 | 90.5 | 30.43 | * |
| Child health insurance | 80.4 | 87.6 | 7.20 | * |
| Access to transportation | 89.8 | 94.1 | 4.34 | * |
| Presence of (substance) abuse | 91.5 | 94.2 | 2.68 | * |
| Emotional wellbeing/ life value | 80.7 | 90.7 | 9.97 | * |
| Support system | 74.6 | 87.4 | 12.79 | * |

Table 1 presents the percentage of families at a “safe” or “self sufficient” level on first and second assessments. Only families with at least 2 assessments are considered in the table. The different colors denote groupings of indicators by protective factor. The “difference” column in the table indicates the increase (if positive) or decrease (if negative) in the percentage of families at the “safe or “self

sufficient” level from first to second assessment. The “P<.05” column denotes (with a star) the changes that were statistically significant at the .05 level.

3. Change by protective factor (all clients with at least 2 assessments):

Table #2:
Percentage of families at the “safe” or “self-sufficient” level in all indicators considered for the protective factor

| Protective Factor | 1st Assessment | 2nd Assessment | Difference | p<.05 |
|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| Children's social and emotional development | 38.5 | 53.3 | 14.8 | * |
| Parental resilience & knowledge of parenting and child development | 66.2 | 79.0 | 12.8 | * |
| Concrete support in times of need | 13.6 | 29.5 | 15.9 | * |
| Parental Resilience | 75.9 | 86.7 | 10.8 | * |
| Social connections | 74.6 | 87.4 | 12.8 | * |

Table 2 presents the percentage of families at the “safe” or “self sufficient” level on first and second assessments *on ALL indicators within each of the protective factors*. Only families with at least 2 assessments are considered in the table. The “difference” column in the table indicates the increase (if positive) or decrease (if negative) in the percentage of families at the “safe or “self sufficient” level from first to second assessment in all indicators within the protective factor. The “P<.05” column denotes (with a star) the changes that were statistically significant at the .05 level.

4. Change by protective factor for DR vs. Non DR.

Figure #3:

Percentage of families at the “safe” or “self-sufficient” level in all indicators considered in the “Social and Emotional Development” protective factor for DR and Non DR families in first and second assessment




Figure #4:

Percentage of families at the “safe” or “self-sufficient” level in all indicators considered in the “Knowledge of Parenting and Child Development” protective factor for DR and Non DR families in first and second assessment.




Figure #5:
Percentage of families at the “safe” or “self-sufficient” level in all indicators considered in the “Concrete support in times of need” protective factor for DR and Non DR families in first and second assessment.




Figure #6:
Percentage of families at the “safe” or “self-sufficient” level in all indicators considered in the “Parental Resilience” protective factor for DR and Non DR families in first and second assessment.




Figure #7:
Percentage of families at the “safe” or “self-sufficient” level in all indicators considered in the “Social Connections” protective factor for DR and Non DR families in first and second assessment.

