

**Collaborative Report for: All collaboratives
January 1st 2010 - January 15th 2015**

1. Demographic and referral type information:

**Figure #1:
Distribution of families by Referral type**




Figure 1 shows what percentage of families was referred under Differential Response paths 1, 2, 3 or under a non-Differential Response referral. All families with a first FDM assessment with a valid path code are considered in the figure

**Figure #2:
Distribution of families by their Race/ethnicity**




Figure 2 shows the distribution of race/ethnicity for all families with a first FDM assessment that had a valid race/ethnicity code.

2. Change in scores for core FDM indicators:

Table #1:
Percentage of clients at the “safe” or “self-sufficient” status level by assessment

Indicator	1st Assessment	2nd Assessment	Difference	n	P<.05
Childcare	79.8	89.7	9.9	7,748	*
Supervision	97.3	98.2	0.9	10,354	*
Risk of emotional or sex abuse	83.5	94.1	10.6	10,211	*
Nutrition	92.8	98.1	5.2	10,665	*
Appropriate development	87.7	93.1	5.4	10,317	*
Nurturing	90.8	95.9	5.1	10,673	*
Parenting skills	84.7	93.0	8.3	10,749	*
Family communication skills	78.8	87.6	8.8	11,307	*
Budgeting	73.3	86.6	13.3	11,299	*
Clothing	77.9	88.5	10.6	11,301	*
Employment	52.5	62.7	10.2	8,853	*
Stability of home shelter	85.4	89.3	3.9	11,296	*
Home environment	92.9	95.8	2.9	11,301	*
Health services	87.5	94.5	6.9	11,302	*
Comm. resources knowledge	58.1	90.9	32.7	11,304	*
Child health insurance	87.2	94.2	7.1	10,506	*
Access to transportation	90.1	94.5	4.4	11,303	*
Presence of (substance) abuse	91.2	94.2	3.0	11,305	*
Emotional wellbeing/ life value	80.6	91.0	10.4	11,309	*
Support system	75.1	87.7	12.6	11,309	*

Table 1 presents the percentage of families at a “safe” or “self sufficient” level on first and second assessments. Only families with at least 2 assessments are considered in the table. The different colors denote groupings of indicators by protective factor. The “difference” column in the table indicates the increase (if positive) or decrease (if negative) in the percentage of families at the “safe or “self sufficient” level from first to second assessment. The “P<.05” column denotes (with a star) the changes that were statistically significant at the .05 level. N denotes the number of families with first and second assessments (matched) for each indicator.

3. Change by protective factor:

Table #2:
Percentage of families at the “safe” or “self-sufficient” level in all indicators considered for the protective factor

Protective factor	1st Assessment	2nd Assessment	Difference	n	P<.05
Children's social and emotional development	58.9	80.9	22.0	7,592	*
Parental resilience & knowledge of parenting and child development	69.7	83.5	13.8	10,669	*
Concrete support in times of need	18.7	42.9	24.1	8,130	*
Parental Resilience	75.6	87.0	11.5	11,293	*
Social connections	75.1	87.7	12.6	11,309	*

Table 2 presents the percentage of families at the “safe” or “self sufficient” level on first and second assessments *on ALL indicators within each of the protective factors*. Only families with at least 2 assessments are considered in the table. The “difference” column in the table indicates the increase (if positive) or decrease (if negative) in the percentage of families at the “safe or “self sufficient” level from first to second assessment in all indicators within the protective factor. The “P<.05” column denotes (with a star) the changes that were statistically significant at the .05 level.

4. Change by protective factor for DR vs. Non DR.

Figure #3:
Percentage of families at the “safe” or “self-sufficient” level in all indicators considered in the “Social and Emotional Development” protective factor for DR and Non DR families in fist and second assessment




Figure #4:
Percentage of families at the “safe” or “self-sufficient” level in all indicators considered in the “Knowledge of Parenting and Child Development” protective factor for DR and Non DR families in first and second assessment.




Figure #5:
Percentage of families at the “safe” or “self-sufficient” level in all indicators considered in the “Concrete support in times of need” protective factor for DR and Non DR families in fist and second assessment.




Figure #6:
Percentage of families at the “safe” or “self-sufficient” level in all indicators considered in the “Parental Resilience” protective factor for DR and Non DR families in fist and second assessment.




Figure #7:
Percentage of families at the “safe” or “self-sufficient” level in all indicators considered in the “Social Connections” protective factor for DR and Non DR families in fist and second assessment.

